

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JAMAL BRIAN HICKLEN,)
Plaintiff,) Case No.: 2:16-cv-02346-GMN-PAL
VS.	
	ORDER
US GOVERNMENT LAW	
ENFORCEMENT AGENTS,)
·)
Defendant.)

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Peggy A. Leen, (ECF No. 4), which recommends that Plaintiff Jamal Brian Hicklen's case be dismissed without prejudice to Plaintiff's ability to commence a new action in which he submits a complaint and either pays the appropriate filing fee in full or submits a completed application to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna–Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed.